Historic Boycotts							
1765 Stamp Act Protest	1902 Kosher Meat Boycott	1955-1956 Montgomery Bus Boycott	1964-1970 The Grape Boycott	1986 Dolphin-Safe Tuna Campaign			
1. Who sponsored the boycott?							
American Colonists	Jewish homemakers on the Lower East Side of New York City	Women's Political Council and later, the Montgomery Improve- ment Association	United Farm Workers Association	International Marine Mammal Project			
2. Who was the target of the boycott?							
British government	Beef Trust—whole- salers	Montgomery, AL pub- lic bus system	Schenley Liquor Com- pany, California grape growers	U.S. tuna companies in- cluding the producers of StarKist, Bumblebee, and Chicken of the Sea brands.			
3. What products v	3. What products were boycotted?						
British imports	Meat	Rides on public buses in the city	Products sold by the li- quor company, table grapes sold nation- wide.	Tuna caught by the inten- tional chasing and netting of dolphins			
4. What products d	o you think were sub	ostituted for those con	sumers who refused t	to buy?			
Goods made in the colonies.	Fish, poultry	Taxis, carpools, and walking	Other fruits	Meat, chicken, other sea- food, eggs, etc.			
5. What was the bo	5. What was the boycott's goal?						
To protest British taxes on business transactions in the colonies.	To protest wholesale meat price increase from 12 to 18 cents per pound.	To protest racially se- gregated seating on buses.	To improve the work- ing conditions and wages of farm work- ers.	To end the use of purse seine nets, and to adopt "Dolphin Safe" fishing practices.			
Historic Boycotts (continued)							

1765 Stamp Act Protest	1902 Kosher Meat Boycott	1955-1956 Montgomery Bus Boycott	1964-1970 The Grape Boycott	1986 Dolphin-Safe Tuna Campaign			
6. What other techniques were used to achieve the same goal?							
Lobbying British Government	Butchers initially re- fused to sell meat, boycotts were ac- companied by riots	Federal lawsuits against bus segrega- tion were filed.	Worker strikes, a march to the state capital	Lobbying the federal gov- ernment for "Dolphin Safe" labels on tuna			
7. Was the goal achieved? Tell why you think the boycott succeeded or failed.							
The Act was re- pealed in 1766. Brit- ish merchants were as unhappy as the colonists.	Prices dropped back to 14 cents per pound. Retailers and the public were sup- portive of the action.	The U.S. Supreme Court ruled that se- gregated seating on public buses is uncon- stitutional. The boycott garnered national at- tention but the legal case was the determ- ining factor.	By 1970, many work- ers were unionized giving them the power to negotiate for im- provements. The boy- cott received strong national support from the media and the public.	90% of the world's canned tuna is now caught though "dolphin safe. Reported dolphin deaths dropped. Though the practice continues in some parts of Central and South America. A video and public support were key factors.			
-	s that might have bei	nefited by the boycott?	? (For example – consu	mers, workers, taxpay-			
• Colonial produ- cers of goods that could be substituted for British imports.	<ul> <li>Fisherman, poultry farmers, other sellers of mea alternatives.</li> <li>Workers who learned strategies later used to protest pay and working con- ditions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Taxis got new customers.</li> <li>Media attention gave the civil rights movement increase public support and a new momentum.</li> </ul>	• Other fruit growers as consumers pur- chased substitutes.	<ul> <li>Fisherman who fished for other seafood.</li> <li>Farmers who produce substitutes.</li> <li>Companies that used dolphin-friendly tech- niques.</li> </ul>			
9. What third parties	s that might have bee	en harmed by the boyc	ott?				
<ul> <li>British manufacturers and colonial retailers of the imports.</li> <li>The shippers that brought the goods to colonies.</li> <li>Consumers who chose not to support the boycott and who wanted to purchase British imports.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Retail meat sellers. Consumers who chose not to support the boycott and who wanted to buy beef.</li> <li>Persons in the street who by chance were caught up in the riots.</li> <li>Taxpayers and the local governments that had to cover costs of policing dur- ing the riots and the resulting damage.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Employers whose employees had diffi- culty getting to work.</li> <li>People who had their persons or prop- erty damaged by those opposed to the boycott agenda.</li> <li>The state gained an image as racist.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Gro- cery stor es and oth- ers who dis- trib- uted and sold table grap</li> </ul>	• Tuna fisherman.			

© Council for Economic Education