

EconEdLink: Assessment Rubrics

To assess student learning, the instructor will evaluate:

1. Student contribution to class discussion.

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement
Knowledge	Demonstrates complete comprehension through questions, answers and comments.	Demonstrates substantial understanding through questions, answers and comments.	Demonstrates some understanding through questions, answers and comments.	Demonstrates little or no understanding.
Preparation	Always prepared for class with assignments and required class materials.	Usually prepared for class with assignments and required class materials.	Rarely prepared for class with assignments and required class materials.	Almost never prepared for class with assignments and required class materials.
Level of Engagement	Proactively contributes to class by offering ideas and asking questions more than once per class.	Proactively contributes to class by offering ideas and asking questions once per class.	Rarely contributes to class by offering ideas and asking questions.	Never contributes to class by offering ideas and asking questions.
Speech Quality	Speaks clearly and distinctly all the time. Volume is loud enough to be heard by all classmates.	Speaks clearly and distinctly nearly all the time. Volume is loud enough to be heard by all classmates.	Speaks clearly and distinctly most of the time. Volume is loud enough to be heard by most classmates.	Often mumble or can not be understood. Volume is often too soft to be heard by most classmates.
Listening Skills	Listens when others talk. Incorporates or builds on the ideas of others.	Listens when others talk.	Does not listen when others talk.	Does not listen when others talk. Often interrupts when others speak.

EconEdLink: Assessment Rubrics

To assess student learning, the instructor will evaluate

2. Worksheets and writing assignments using criteria on the following rubric as appropriate.

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement
Knowledge	Shows complete understanding of the concepts, ideas, and processes.	Shows substantial understanding of the concepts, ideas and processes.	Shows some understanding of the concepts, ideas and processes.	Response shows a complete lack of understanding of concepts, ideas and processes.
Requirements	Goes beyond requirements. All questions are answered with exceptional detail.	Meets requirements. All questions are answered with appropriate detail	Hardly meets the requirements. All questions are answered but some detail is missing.	Does not meet requirements. Some of the problems are not completed.
Strategy & Procedures	Always uses an efficient and effective strategy to solve the problem(s).	Typically uses an effective strategy to solve the problem(s).	Sometimes uses an effective strategy to solve problems.	Rarely uses an effective strategy to solve problems.
Mathematics	No math errors.	No major math errors or serious flaws in reasoning.	May be some serious math errors or flaws in reasoning.	Major math errors or serious flaws in reasoning.
Mathematical Terminology & Notation	Always uses correct terminology and notation, making it easy to understand what was done.	Usually uses correct terminology and notation, making it fairly easy to understand what was done.	Usually uses correct terminology and notation, but it is sometimes not easy to understand what was done.	Little use or a lot of inappropriate use of terminology and notation.
Sentences & Paragraphs	All sentences and paragraphs are complete, well constructed and of varied structure. No fragments or run-ons.	Nearly all sentences and paragraphs are complete and well constructed	Most sentences and paragraphs are complete and well constructed. A few need some work.	Many sentences and/or paragraphs need work
Grammar & Spelling	There are no errors in grammar or spelling.	There are 1-2 errors in grammar and/or spelling.	There are 3-4 errors in grammar and/or spelling	There are more than 4 errors in grammar and/or spelling.
Capitalization & Punctuation	There are no errors in capitalization and punctuation.	There are 1-2 errors in capitalization and punctuation.	There are 3-4 errors in capitalization and punctuation.	There are more than 4 errors in capitalization and punctuation.
Legibility	Writing is legible with no distracting corrections.	Writing is marginally legible in some places OR 1-2 distracting corrections.	Writing is not legible in some places OR 3-4 distracting corrections.	Writing is not legible OR more than 4 distracting corrections.
Neatness	Paper is clean and neat with no wrinkles.	Paper is generally clean but has some folds or worn edges.	Paper is slightly crumpled or stained.	Paper is very crumpled or stained.

EconEdLink: Assessment Rubrics

To assess student learning, the instructor will evaluate

3. Graphs using these additional criteria.

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement
Accuracy	Graph fits the data well and makes it very easy to interpret. Elements are located and sized correctly.	Graph fits the data. Typically, elements are located and sized correctly.	Graph fits the data but there is minor distortion in the location and size of elements that makes interpretation difficult at times.	Graph seriously distorts the data making interpretation almost impossible. Elements are missing.
Design	Exceptionally attractive and well designed. Lines are straight and drawn with a ruler. Colors work well together.	Design contributes to readability of graph. Lines are straight. Colors help distinguish various elements	Lines are straight but the graph appears quite plain.	Appears messy. Lines are crooked.
Labeling	All elements (title, bars, axes, etc.) of the graph are clearly and attractively labeled. No spelling errors.	All elements of the graph of accurately labeled. No spelling errors.	All elements of the graph are accurately labeled but some labels are not easy to interpret. Minor spelling errors.	Some elements of the graph are not labeled OR many are difficult to interpret. There may be many spelling errors.

EconEdLink: Assessment Rubrics

To assess student learning, the instructor will evaluate

4. Group efforts using these additional criteria.

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement
Collaboration	Almost always listens to, shares with, and supports the efforts of others. Tries to keep people working well together.	Usually listens to, shares, with, and supports the efforts of others. Does not cause "waves" in the group.	Often listens to, shares with, and supports the efforts of others, but sometimes is not a good team member.	Rarely listens to, shares with, and supports the efforts of others. Often is not a good team player.
Problem-solving	Actively looks for and suggests solutions to problems.	Refines solutions suggested by others.	Does not suggest or refine solutions, but is willing to try out solutions suggested by others.	Does not try to solve problems or help others solve problems. Lets others do the work.
Focus on the Task	Consistently stays focused on the task and what needs to be done. Very self-directed.	Focuses on the task and what needs to be done most of the time. Other group members can count on this person.	Focuses on the task and what needs to be done some of the time. Other group members must sometimes nag, prod, and remind to keep this person on-task.	Rarely focuses on the task and what needs to be done. Lets others do the work.
Attitude	Never publicly criticizes the project or the work of others. Always has a positive attitude about the task(s).	Rarely is publicly critical of the project or the work of others. Often has a positive attitude about the task(s).	Occasionally is publicly critical of the project or the work of other members of the group. Usually has a positive attitude about the task(s).	Often is publicly critical of the project or the work of other members of the group. Often has a negative attitude about the task(s).